AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.312

U.S. Appln. No. 09/644,560

REMARKS

The changes being made are simply editorial in nature and no question of new matter or questions of further search arise.

This amendment follows receipt of the Examiner's Amendment dated January 18, 2005. That amendment effectuated changes to claims 20 and 30. One change, common to both claims, was the inclusion of the substance of claim 29 into each claim. However, claim 29 was not cancelled and by this amendment that is proposed since this dependent claim is now redundant to claim 20, as amended.

Additionally, it was noted that the Examiner's Amendment was grammatically incorrect. Both claims 20 and 30 contain two periods and thus are each two sentences which is not permitted. Moreover, with the inclusion of a new last limitation, the "and" needed to be moved. Thus by this amendment those changes have been made and entry is thus requested. It is apparent that no substantive changes to the claims are involved.

Finally, the Applicant points out that the Examiner's Amendment and Interview Summary contain an erroneous reference to "Richard C. Weisberger" as the individual who participated in the telephone interview and having approved the Examiner's' Amendment. More correctly, it was the undersigned "Neil B. Siegel" as authorize counsel for the Applicant who has handled this prosecution and participated in the telephone interview on December 29, 2004.

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.312

U.S. Appln. No. 09/644,560

Entry and consideration of this Amendment are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Neil B. Siege

Registration No. 25,200

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC

Telephone: (202) 293-7060 Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE 23373
CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: February 9, 2005